Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/824,969	HARRY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	ISAAC T. TECKLU	2192
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>ISAAC T. TECKLU</u> .	(3)	
(2) Brian Tucker, Reg. No. 61,550.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 15 May 2010	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:  1 Prior art documents discussed:  Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview.		
directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa	record of the substance of the	interview, since the interview
/Isaac T Tecklu/ Examiner, Art Unit 2192	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Application No. 10/824,969

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed. Examiner contacted Mr. Tucker to propose claim amendment to have the case in condition for allowance. The proposition is to include wherein one of the client-side client source code control component or the server-side server source code control component checks for an error during the update process and determines whether the update can proceed or must be aborted in part or whole to claim 1 Mr. Tucker accepted the proposition.